201-16316A

##CEIVED CONT OFFO 2006 JUL 18 AM 7: 37

## SODIUM 2-(2-DODECYLOXYETHOXY)ETHYL SULPHATE (SODIUM LAURETH SULFATE; CAS NO. 3088-3 1-1): TEST PLAN

### Submitted to the US Environmental Protection Agency

By

Stepan Company

DATE: May 2006

### **SUMMARY**

**Stepan** Company **(Stepan)** has sponsored Sodium Laureth Sulfate (CAS No. 3088-3 1- 1) under the EPA's High Production Volume (HPV) Program. This document provides the Test Plan and summaries of existing data in support of this substance.

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Stepan has voluntarily committed to participate in the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) high production volume chemicals (HPV) challenge program, to assess the health and environmental hazards, including selected physical chemical characteristics of sodium laureth sulfate (CAS No. 3088-3 1-3). Sodium laureth sulfate is an alcohol ethoxysulfate (AES).

An evaluation of the available data and proposed test plan are included in this document. As part of this evaluation, data from structurally analogous substances (other AES) were used to fulfill some endpoints for the sponsored substance (SDA, 1991; HERA, 2003). The HERA has defined the AES family "to encompass commercial grades of linear-type primary alcohol ethoxysulphates containing AES components of basic structure  $C_nH_{2n+}O(C_2H_4O)_mSO_3X$ ) where n=10-18 and m=0-8 and X=sodium, ammonium or triethanolamine (TEA)". Although sodium laureth sulfate was not included in this assessment, it is clearly within the bounds of the HERA definition. In addition to using data from AES, one specific AES (sodium lauryl ether sulfate, SLES, CAS No 9004-82-4) is also used in this assessment. As shown in Figure 1, SLES is a close structural analog of sodium laureth sulfate. Robust summaries for sodium laureth sulfate are provided in Appendix 1. The HERA AES documents are provided in Appendix 2.

The objective of this test plan is to evaluate the available data and determine what additional data, if any, are needed to adequately characterize the physical properties, environmental fate, and human health and environmental effects of sodium laureth sulfate. All endpoint have been fulfilled either with testing completed on the sponsored substance or through the use of testing with structurally analogous AES. No additional testing has been proposed.

Table 1: AVAILABLE DATA FOR SODIUM LAURETH SULFATE

| Endpoint                          |          |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Physical Chemical Properties      |          |  |  |
| Melting Point                     | A (calc) |  |  |
| Vapor Pressure                    | A (calc) |  |  |
| Boiling Point                     | A (calc) |  |  |
| Partition Coefficient             | A (calc) |  |  |
| Water Solubility                  | A (calc) |  |  |
| Environmental Fate                |          |  |  |
| Hydrolysis                        | Α        |  |  |
| Photodegradation                  | A (calc) |  |  |
| Biodegradation                    | A        |  |  |
| Environmental Transport           | A (calc) |  |  |
| Ecotoxicity                       |          |  |  |
| Acute/Chronic Fish                | R        |  |  |
| Acute/Chronic Daphnia             | R        |  |  |
| Acute/Chronic Algae               | R        |  |  |
| Mammalian toxicity                |          |  |  |
| Acute Oral                        | A        |  |  |
| Repeated Dose                     | R        |  |  |
| Genotoxicity (in vitro -bacteria) | R        |  |  |
| Genotoxicity (in vivo)            | R        |  |  |
| Reproductive/Developmental        | R        |  |  |

A= Adequate data

Calc = Modeling data

R = Read across to AES

#### 2.0 <u>USE</u>

Sodium laureth sulfate is used in variety of shampoos, bath products, and hand soaps with its good foaming, viscosity building, and low irritation properties (**Stepan**, 2006a). SLES is used as a scouring, leveling, coupling and foaming agent for textile applications.

#### 3.0 EVALUATION OF EXISTING DATA AND PROPOSED TESTING

#### Chemical/Physical Prouerties:

Sodium laureth sulfate is a solid. Estimated physical chemical properties are provided in Table 2. The melting point of sodium laureth sulfate is 286 °C (EpiWin v3,11); this is in good agreement with an expected value of greater than 200 2006b). The boiling point is 659 °C (EpiWin v3.11). A vapor pressure has not been determined but it is expected to be negligible. The estimated vapor pressure is 3.4E<sup>-15</sup> hPa (EpiWin v3.11). The estimated partition coefficient for sodium laureth sulfate is 1.14 (EpiWin v3.11). The estimated water solubility of sodium laureth sulfate is 452 mg/L (EpiWin v3.11), which is in good agreement with the expected value as this substance is very water soluble (Stepan, 2006b).

The physical and chemical properties of analogous AES are summarized in Table 2. The physical chemical properties of sodium laureth sulfate are similar to analogous AES, sodium lauryl ether sulfate (SLES, CAS No 9004-82-4; EpiWin v3.11) and AES(C12) (HERA, 2003).

Table 2: Summary of Physical and Chemical Property Data for Sodium Laureth Sulfate and AES

| Compound               | Physical Chemical Properties         |                        |                          |                          |                     |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
|                        | Melting<br>Point (°C)                | Boiling<br>Point (°C)  | Vapor Pressure<br>(hPa)  | Partition<br>Coefficient | Water<br>Solubility |
| Sodium laureth sulfate | 4.4 (freezing point) 287 (estimated) | 100<br>659 (estimated) | 3.4E- 15 (estimated)     | 1.14<br>(estimated)      | 452<br>(estimated)  |
|                        |                                      | Supporting             | data                     |                          | <u> </u>            |
| AES (as SLES)          | 290 (estimated)                      | 666<br>(estimated)     | 2.01 E-15<br>(estimated) | 1.62<br>(estimated)      | 187<br>(estimated)  |
| AES (C12)              | 298<br>(estimated)                   | 684<br>(estimated)     | 1.2E-13 (estimated)      | 0.95<br>(estimated)      | 425<br>(estimated)  |

**Recommendation:** No additional testing is proposed.

#### Environmental Fate.

Environmental fate properties of sodium laureth sulfate and AES/SLES or C 12 are presented in Table 3. Sodium laureth sulfate undergoes 10% decomposition at 1 OOC between 30 and 40 days (Roberts et al.). This is to be expected as an increased rate of hydrolysis is proportional to increases in temperature. Therefore, as temperature decreases, the rate of hydrolysis greatly slows. Under normal use and typical environmental conditions (approximately 25C at non-catalyzed conditions), this chemical is expected to be resistant to hydrolysis.

Sodium laureth sulfate has been shown to be readily biodegradable (65% after 28 days; AnaylCen, 2003). Photodegradation and fugacity modeling has been conducted for sodium laureth sulfate (EpiWin v3.11). The photodegradation half-life is 0.2 days. Level III fugacity modeling indicates sodium laureth sulfate will partition primarily to soil and water (Air = 0.355%; Water = 49.4%; Soil = 50.1% and Sediment = 0.103%).

AES have been shown to be readily biodegradable, regardless of chain length (HERA, 2003).

Table 3 Summary of Environmental Fate Data for Sodium Laureth Sulfate and AES

| Compound        |                | Environ            | ental Fate       |                   |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                 | Stability in   | Photodegradation   | Level III        | Biodegradation    |
|                 | Water          |                    | Fugacity         |                   |
|                 |                |                    | Model (%)        | '                 |
| Sodium          | Stable         | Rate constant:     | Air = 0.355      | 65% after 28 days |
| laureth sulfate |                | .000000000045      | Water = 49.4     |                   |
|                 |                | cm³/(molecule*sec) | Soil = 50.1      | Í                 |
|                 |                | Half-life: .2 days | Sediment = 0.103 | 11                |
|                 |                | Not applicable     | Not applicable   |                   |
| SLES            | Not applicable |                    |                  | 8 1% after 26 d   |
|                 |                |                    |                  | S)                |
| AES (C12)       | Not applicable | Not applicable     | Not applicable   | Readily           |
|                 |                |                    |                  | biodegradable     |

**Recommendation:** No additional testing is proposed.

#### Aquatic Toxicity

Aquatic toxicity data are not available for sodium laureth sulfate. Extensive acute and chronic toxicity data are available for AES (SDA, 1991; HERA, 2003; Warne and Schifko, 1999). As reported in HERA (2003), there appears to be no difference in sensitivity of fish and invertebrates to AES. Similar effects are expected for the sponsored substance.

Recommendation: No additional testing is proposed.

Table 4 Chronic Aquatic Toxicity Data for Sodium Laureth Sulfate and AES/SLES

| Compound                  | <b>Environmental Effects</b>   |   |   |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
|                           | Fish (mg/L)  | Daphnia (mg/L)  | Algae (mg/L)  |  |  |
| Sodium<br>laureth sulfate | 14-day LC50 = 2836<br>(estimated): read across to<br>AESISLES  | No data: read across to AES/SLES  | No data: read across to<br>AESISLES   |  |  |
|                           | Supporting data  |   |   |  |  |
| AES (C12)                 | Saccobranchus fossilis 60 d<br>> 2.24  | C. dubia 7 d NOEC = .34,<br>.88, 1.2, 2.7, 6.3<br>Brachionus calyciflorus 2<br>d EC20 = 0.97-1.1, 2.3 | S. capricornutum 96 hr<br>NOEC growth = 12<br>River water 'community'<br>Chlorophyll a NOEC 3<br>weeks = 70 (enhancement at<br>5) |  |  |
| AES (C12-<br>14)          | Pimephales promelas 96 hr LC50 = 13 (ammonium salt ) Lepomis macrochirus 96 hr LC50 = 24 (ammonium salt ) Cyprinodon variegates 96 hr LC50 = 2.3 (ammonium salt) | No data   | Selenastrum capricornutum 5 d algistatic = 101, algicidal > 1000  |  |  |
| C12-13AE2S                | Salmo gairdneri 96 hr LC50 = 28  | No data   | No data   |  |  |
| C12AE2.1S                 | Lepomis macrochirus 24 hr<br>LC50 = 87   | No data   | No data   |  |  |
| C12AE2S                   | Pimephales promelas 48 hr<br>LC50 = 1.5  | No data   | No data   |  |  |
| C12-<br>14AE2.2S          | No data  | Daphnia magna 24 <b>hr</b><br>EC50 = 21   | No data   |  |  |
| ammonium<br>C12-14AES     | No data  | Daphnia magna 96 <b>hr</b><br>EC50 = 5.7  | No data   |  |  |
| SLES                      | No data  | Ceriodaphnia dubia 48 hr<br>EC50 = 3.12   | No data   |  |  |

#### Acute Mammalian Toxicity:

Sodium laureth sulfate has a low acute oral toxicity in rats, with an LD50 greater than 5000 mg/kg bw (Hill Top Research, 1982a) (Table 5). The acute oral toxicity of AES is low, with LD50's ranging from 1700 to greater than 5000 mg/kg bw (HERA, 2003). The acute oral toxicity of SLES is also low, with an LD50 of 1600 mg/kg bw (JACT, 1983).

In a semi-occlusive skin irritation study in rabbits, the application of sodium laureth sulfate caused evidence of tissue damage in two of 6 animals (Hill Top Research, 1982b). Atonia, blanching discoloration and spreading of irritative effects was also noted during this study. Application of undiluted sodium laureth sulfate to the right eye of each of 6 rabbits caused evidence of significant corneal, iris and conjunctival changes (Hill Top Research, 1982c).

**Recommendation:** No additional testing is proposed.

#### Reueated Dose Toxicity:

Repeated dose toxicity studies have not been conducted with sodium laureth sulfate. Extensive testing has been conducted with AES. One of these studies is summarized here. NaC 12-14AE2S was tested for systemic toxicity at repeated doses by oral gavage of 0, 25, 75, and 225 mg/kg bodyweight (HERA, 2003). The compound was administered by gavage for 90 days. Recovery group animals were included in the 0, 75 and 225 mg/kg dose groups. There were no clinical signs, effects on body weight, food or water consumption or body weight gain. The forestomach of the animals of the treated group showed some lesions. A NOEL was not determined, although these effects are not relevant to human health. Based on systemic toxicity, behavioural and clinical abnormalities and other general or specific toxic effects, a no adverse effect level (NOAEL) of 225 mg/kg was established. Additional studies are described in HERA, 2003 (provided as Appendix 2). Overall, these studies indicate no adverse effects, behavioral or clinical abnormalities of AES were observed up to a dose level of 250 mg/kg body weight per day (HERA, 2003). Similar effects are expected for the sponsored substance.

**Recommendation:** No additional testing is proposed.

#### Reproductive/Developmental <u>Toxicity:</u>

Reproductive toxicity studies have not been conducted with sodium laureth sulfate. Studies with AES show no reproductive effects. Specifically, a key study has been identified (HERA, 2003). A two generation reproduction study in rats was conducted with NaC12-14AE2S following OECD guideline 4 16. There were no treatment-related reproductive effects at any dose level on the parents or offspring (NOAEL > 3 300 mg/kg/day). The NOAEL for systemic effects of 0.1 % (86.6 mg/kg bw) for the FO generation and a NOAEL of 0.1 % (149.5 mg/kg bw) for the FI generation was reported (HERA, 2003).

Studies assessing the teratogenic potential and developmental toxicity of AES have been described (HERA, 2003). It was concluded in HERA (2003) "that there is sufficient evidence that AES is not teratogenic or a developmental toxicant under the conditions described. A NOAEL greater than 1000 mg/kg bw/day can be estimated for teratogenicity and embryotoxicity on the basis of the segment II embryotoxicity study which is judged to be of highest reliability. The NOAEL for developmental toxicity appears to be greater than 750 mg/kg bw/day." Similar effects are expected for the sponsored substance.

**Recommendation:** No additional testing is proposed.

#### Mutagenicity Assays:

No genetic toxicity testing is available for sodium laureth sulfate. AES have been tested extensively for bacterial and mammalian genotoxicity; results of this testing are consistently negative. It was concluded in HERA (2003) "that there is no evidence that AES are either mutagenic or genotoxic." Similar effects are expected for the sponsored substance.

**Recommendation:** No additional testing is proposed.

Table 5 Mammalian Toxicity Data for Sodium Laureth Sulfate and AES

| Oral   Repeat Dose   Repro.   Develop.   Genetic To  | •                    |
|--|----------------------|
| Sodium laureth sulfate >5000 No data: read across to AES n | 1                    |
| Supporting data  |                      |
| Gapporting data  | _                    |
| >5000  300 mg/kg/bw); NOAEL (FO, systemic) = 0.1 % (86.6 mg/kg bw); NOAEL (F1, systemic) = 0.1 % (149.5 mg/kg bw)    NOAEL (F1, systemic) = 0.1 % (149.5 mg/kg bw)    NOAEL (F1, systemic) = 0.1 %   Mammalian:  | negative<br>negative |
| SLES 1600  |                      |

\* = AES (NaC1: 4AE2S)

#### REFERENCES

AnalyCen ecotox (2003) Biodgradation (OECD 306) Test Results for the Test Substance Agent 2527-68ES-7.

**EPIWIN** (v3.11)

Hill Top Research, Inc (1982a) Acute Oral Toxicity Screen in Rats of 9 10- 11 for **Stepan** Chemical Company. Project No. 82-082 1-2 1.

Hill Top Research, Inc (1982b) Skin Irritation Study in Rabbits of 910-12 for **Stepan** Chemical Company. Project No. 82-0822-21.

Hill Top Research, Inc (1982c) Primary Eye Irritation Study in Rabbits of 91 O-13 for Stepan Chemical Company. Project No. 82-0823-2 1.

HERA (2003) Human & Environmental Risk Assessment on ingredients of European household cleaning products: Alcohol Ethoxysulphates. Human Health Risk Assessment Draft January 2003.

Journal of the American College of Toxicology (JACT) (1983) (Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., 1651 Third Ave., New York, NY 10128) Vol 2(5): 1.

Roberts D.W., R Alvarez, D. Bethell and R. Fessy. HYDROLYSIS KINETICS OF PRIMARY ALCOHOL SULPHATES AND PRIMARY ALCOHOL ETHOXY SULPHATES

Unilever Research Port Sunlight SDA (199 1) Environmental and Human Safety of Major Surfactants: Volume 1. Anionic Surfactants Part 2. Alcohol Ethoxy Sulfates

Stepan Company (2006a) Products: STEOL®-270. http://www.stepan.com/en/products/product detail.asp?id=520

Stepan Company (2006b) Personal Communication

Warne, M.S.T. and A.D. Schifko (1999) Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 44(2): 196-206

Sodium laureth sulfate, CAS no 3088-31-l:

$$CH_3 - (CH_2)_{11} - 0 - [CH_2 - CH_2 - O]_2 - SO_3H$$
 Na

SLES, CAS no 9004-82-4:

$$CH_3$$
 –  $(CH_2)_{11}$  –  $0$  –  $[CH_2$  –  $CH_2$  –  $O]_n$  –  $SO_3H$  'Na,  $n$  = moles of EO

FIGURE 1: Structures of Sodium Laureth Sulfate and Sodium Lauryl Ether Sulfate

APPENDIX 1
DOSSIER
SODIUM LAURETH SULFATE

# APPENDIX 2 HUMAN & ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT ON INGREDIENTS OF EUROPEAN HOUSEHOLD CLEANING PRODUCTS: ALCOHOL ETHOXYSULPHATES